

New karyotypes of two related species of *Oligoryzomys* genus (Cricetidae, Rodentia) involving centric fusion with loss of NORs and distribution of telomeric (TTAGGG)_n sequences

MARIA JOSÉ DE JESUS SILVA¹ and YATIYO YONENAGA-YASSUDA¹

¹ Departamento de Biologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil

Silva, M. J. de J. and Yonenaga-Yassuda, Y. 1997. New karyotypes of two related species of *Oligoryzomys* genus (Cricetidae, Rodentia) involving centric fusion with loss of NORs and distribution of telomeric (TTAGGG)_n sequences. — *Hereditas* 127: 217–229. Lund, Sweden. ISSN 0018-0661. Received February 4, 1997. Accepted August 7, 1997

Comparative cytogenetics studies based on conventional staining, CBG, GTG, RBG-banding, Ag-NOR staining, fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using telomere probes, length measurements, and meiotic data were performed on two related but previously undescribed cricetid species referred to as *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 and *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2, respectively, from Pico das Almas (Bahia: Brazil) and Serra do Cipó (Minas Gerais: Brazil). *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 had $2n = 46$ and *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 had $2n = 44, 44/45$. Our banding data and measurements as well as FISH results support the hypothesis that the difference between the diploid numbers occurred by centric fusion events. The karyotypes had conspicuous and distinguishable macro- and micro-chromosomes, and we suppose that the largest pairs (1, 2, and 3) have evolved from a higher diploid number because of successive *tandem* fusion mechanisms.

Yatiyo Yonenaga-Yassuda, Departamento de Biologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, SP, Brazil, 05508-900, C.P.11.461. E-mail: mariajo@usp.br

Within the rodents, the New World Cricetidae have been separated into two subfamilies: Sigmodontinae, which is found basically in South America, and Neotominae in North America. The South American subfamily is subdivided into seven tribes. Among them, the Oryzomyini is a complex Neotropical cricetid group, which consists of a variable number of genera, subgenera, and species (TATE 1932; HERSHKOVITZ 1996; HAIKUK et al. 1979; REIG 1986).

Oligoryzomys was described as a subgenus of *Oryzomys* and is usually recognized as such, or accepted as a full genus. CARLETON and MUSSEY (1989) accepted *Oligoryzomys* as a genus, based on a small body size, small ears, a long tail and feet, a small skull, a short rostrum, interorbit smooth and hour-glass shape, the lack of a sphenofrontal foramen and squamosal-alisphenoid groove (but with stapedial foramen) and opisthodont incisors. They recognized 15 species distributed in five groups: (1) **nigripes**, which includes *Oligoryzomys nigripes*, *Oligoryzomys eliurus*, *Oligoryzomys destructor*, *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus*, and *Oligoryzomys delticola*; (2) **flavescens**, which includes *Oligoryzomys flavescens*, and the three following undescribed species: *Oligoryzomys* sp. A (from Serra do Caparaó: state of Minas Gerais: Brazil), *Oligoryzomys* sp. B (from several localities in the Bolivian and Peruvian Andes), and *Oligoryzomys* sp. C (from Altiplano of southern Peru); (3) **fulvescens**, which includes *Oligoryzomys fulvescens*, *Oligoryzomys arenalis*, and *Oligoryzomys vegetus*; (4) **microtis**, represented by *Oligoryzomys microtis*; and (5) **andinus**, which includes *Oligoryzomys andinus* and

Oligoryzomys chacoensis. Later, MUSSEY and CARLETON (1993), without reference to species groups, included in *Oligoryzomys* three other species: *Oligoryzomys griseolus* from Venezuela and Colombia, *Oligoryzomys magellanicus* from South Patagonian Chile and Argentina, including Tierra del Fuego, and *Oligoryzomys victus* from Saint Vincent: Lesser Antilles.

The status and relationships of many species of the tribe are dubious and karyological information has proved to be an important tool to clarify the systematics of this complex group.

In this paper, we accept *Oligoryzomys* as a genus according to CARLETON and MUSSEY (1989). The diploid number in this group ranges from 52 in *Oligoryzomys* aff. *eliurus* described as *Oryzomys* aff. *eliurus* (FURTADO 1981; MAIA et al. 1983; ALMEIDA et al. 1984) to $2n = 68$ in *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus* and *Oligoryzomys* cf. *flavescens*, described respectively as *Oryzomys longicaudatus* (GARDNER and PATTON 1976) and *Oryzomys* cf. *flavescens* (ESPINOSA and REIG 1991). The cytogenetic data in *Oligoryzomys* have shown numerical and structural rearrangements, including both autosomal and sex chromosomes variations.

In this report we describe the karyotypes of two related but hitherto undescribed species, herein referred to as *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 and *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2. The chromosome number of the two species, $2n = 46$ and $2n = 44, 44/45$, respectively, were determined by conventional staining, CBG, GTG, RBG-banding, Ag-NOR, and fluorescent in situ hybridization




Fig. 1a and b. Karyotypes after conventional staining. a *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1, female with $2n = 46$. b *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2, female with $2n = 44/45$; (*) represents the extra chromosome. Inset: XbY sex chromosomes. Bar = 10 μ m.

(FISH) of telomeric probes. Meiotic data and chromosome length measurements are also provided.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Cytogenetical analysis was carried out on two females of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 collected at Pico das Almas (13°33'S, 41°56'W), state of Bahia and on one female

and two males of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 from Serra do Cipó (19°18'S, 43°35'W), state of Minas Gerais. These two regions are geographically separated by nearly 800 km and situated in disjuncted areas known as "campos rupestres" along the Espinhaço mountains of eastern Brazil.

The specimens are deposited at the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade São Paulo (MZUSP) col-




Fig. 2a–c. CBG-banding pattern. a *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1, female with $2n = 46$. b Metaphase of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2, female with $2n = 44/45$. c Partial metaphase of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 male.

lection (*Oligoryzomys* sp. 1: numbers MZUSP 29015 and 29016; *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2: numbers MZUSP 27423, 29013, and 29014).

Chromosomal preparations were obtained in vivo from bone marrow and spleen and in vitro from fibroblast culture, using methods of ALMEIDA and YONENAGA-YASSUDA (1985). Meiotic analysis was performed on testis cells following the procedure described by EICHER (1966). CBG, GTG-banding patterns and Ag-NORs were produced using routine cytogenetics techniques. RBG-bandings were ob-

tained after in vitro 5-BrdU incorporation (DUTRILLAUX and COUTURIER 1981). Analyses of synaptonemal complex (SC) were obtained according to LOIDL et al. (1991). Chromosome length measurements were calculated as a percentage of the length of the female haploid set in a minimum of 15 metaphases.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization using 3' biotinylated telomere sequence (TTAGGG)_n as a probe was performed on metaphases of both species following the Oncor's protocols (catalog number P5097-DG.5). Hybridization signals were detected by incubation




Fig. 3a and b. GTG-banding pattern. **a** *Oligoryzomys sp. 1* with $2n = 46$. **b** Partial karyotype of *Oligoryzomys sp. 2* with pair 1, 2, 3, and XaXb. Inset: XbY.

with fluorescein isothiocyanate (FITC)-labeled anti-digoxigenin, and the slides were counterstained with propidium iodide in fluorescence antifade solution. Chromosome signals were shown using a Zeiss Axio-phot microscope equipped with a FITC filter and photographed using Ektachrome 400 (Kodak) color slide film.

RESULTS

Banding data

Oligoryzomys sp. 1 ($2n = 46$, $FN = 52$). — Autosomes were composed of macro- and micro-chromosomes (three large and 19 small pairs): pair 1 was acrocentric; pairs 2 and 3 were subtelocentrics; pairs 4 to 20 were acrocentrics graded by size; pairs 21 and 22 were

small metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes, respectively. This type of karyotype is not frequently encountered among mammals. The X chromosome was a medium-sized acrocentric that has a distinctive morphology (Fig. 1a). C-banding revealed constitutive heterochromatin at pericentromeric regions in all autosome pairs except pairs 2 and 3. The X chromosome exhibited a large heterochromatic block in the proximal region and a much smaller interstitial band in the long arm (Fig. 2a). GTG- (Fig. 3a) and R-banding pattern provided the precise identification of the three largest autosomal pairs and the X chromosomes. Ag-NORs analysis revealed inter- and intra-individual variability, and the number per cell varied from five to 12. They were located on short arm regions of the small acrocentrics and the short arm of the acrocentric pair 1 (Fig. 5a).




Fig. 4. RBG-banding pattern after BrdU incorporation of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 male with $2n = 44$, including XbY. Inset: early Xa and late Xb.

Fig. 5a and b. NOR-bearing chromosomes. a *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 with 11 Ag-NORs, including the macro-chromosome acrocentric pair 1 and one association between two small autosomes. b *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 with six Ag-NORs showing one association of two small acrocentrics.

Oligoryzomys sp. 2 ($2n = 44$, $FN = 52$; $2n = 44/45$, $FN = 52/53$). — Two males of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 had $2n = 44$ and $FN = 52$ and the female (mother of the both males) had $2n = 44$ from bone marrow cells, but $2n = 45$ ($FN = 53$) in about 74% of fibroblast culture cells. This mosaicism was caused by a single small acrocentric in the $2n = 44$ karyotype.

The $2n = 44$ karyotype consisted of three large and 18 small autosome pairs: 1, 2, and 3 were large submetacentrics; pairs 4 to 19 were small acrocentrics graded in size, and pairs 20 and 21 were, respectively, small metacentric and submetacentric chromosomes. Two morphologically medium-sized X chromosomes were recognized in the female: a submetacentric (Xa) and an acrocentric (Xb). The Xb form was found in both of the males. The Y chromosome was a small

acrocentric (Fig. 1b). C-banding pattern showed constitutive heterochromatin at all pericentromeric regions. Pair 1, 2, and 3 did not show any constitutive heterochromatin. The Xa presented a proximal C-band block, which extended to the whole short arm; the Xb exhibited a pericentromeric and an interstitial proximal heterochromatic band (Fig. 2b). Chromosome Y was entirely heterochromatic (Fig. 2c). The G-banding pattern allowed the precise identification of the three largest autosomal pairs, X and Y chromosomes (Fig. 3b). RBG-banding pattern served to recognize the majority of the autosomes, both late and early replicating X and the Y chromosome (Fig. 4). Analysis of 23 metaphases after 5-BrdU incorporation in the female, demonstrated about 91% of preferential inactivation of Xb chromosome. Ag-




Fig. 6a–c. Meiotic cells of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 male. **a** Diplotene with 22-bivalents, including the end-to-end associated sex chromosomes (arrow). **b** Metaphase II with 22 chromosomes. **c** Electron micrograph of a spread pachytene spermatocyte in which a schematic representation of the sex chromosome XbY (arrow) is showed inset ($\times 3000$).

NORs were multiple and located exclusively on the short arms of small acrocentrics; the number ranged from 2 to 9 (Fig. 5b).

Meiotic data

Meiotic analysis was performed in *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 males. In diplotene cells, 21 autosomal bivalents could be identified plus an end-to-end associated sex pair (Fig. 6a). Metaphases II showed 22 chromosomes (Fig. 6b). Synaptonemal complex analysis revealed that the onset of autosomal synapses could be observed at either one or two ends or interstitial segment. At the late-zygonema/early-pachynema,

pairing of small chromosomes was completed before the total synapses of the largest axes occurred. The X and Y synaptic initiation was observed from the telomeric end of both. Heteropycnosis of unpaired SC axes was not observed (Fig. 6c). End plaques were darkly stained and included autosomal and X-Y synaptonemal complexes.

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH) using a telomeric probe was used to shed light on the mechanism of rearrangements involved in the differentiation of the two karyotypes ($2n = 46$ and 44).

centric pair 1 and the long arm of the subtelocentric chromosomes; and (VI) presence of telomeric signals only at the ends of all chromosomes after FISH with telomeric probes. Thus, we suggest that the centric fusion occurred with the loss of pericentromeric, nucleolus organizer and telomeric sequences. The alternative hypothesis of centric fission would require the acquisition of those specific sequences in order to derive the $2n = 46$ karyotype. Also, numerous cytogenetic studies describe Robertsonian fusions as a much more common phenomenon of karyotype evolution in many rodent species (GARDNER and PATTON 1976).

Sex chromosome polymorphism represents an evident characteristic of the *Oryzomyini* group and in the majority of the cases, the variations are caused by addition/deletion of constitutive heterochromatin (SILVA 1994; ALMEIDA and YONENAGA-YASSUDA 1991; SVARTMAN and ALMEIDA 1992). The intra-individual heteromorphism of the X chromosome in *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 was the result of a pericentric inversion, since there was no size variation. On the other hand, *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 demonstrated that both X acrocentrics were larger than that of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2, and the interspecific variation was the result of deletion of constitutive heterochromatin.

One remarkable characteristic of the karyotypes of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 and *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 is the conspicuous difference between macro- and microchromosomes. Our FISH data did not show interstitial telomeric bands (ITBs) in the three largest pairs (1, 2, and 3) of *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 and *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2, which could be derived from successive tandem fusion from a higher diploid number karyotype. It is possible that telomeric sequences were lost from the original chromosomes before several fusion events took place or, these sequences are not large enough to be detected by our present methods of hybridization.

Some data from other vertebrates showed relatively large blocks of $(TTAGGG)_n$ at non-telomeric positions in some species in addition to telomeric sites (MEYNE et al. 1990; WILEY et al. 1992; LEE et al. 1993; NANDA and SCHMID 1994). These data support the hypothesis that ITBs may be remnants of chromosome rearrangements. On the other hand, studies in *Okapia johnstoni* (VERMEESCH et al. 1996) as well as in *Mus musculus* (NANDA et al. 1995) revealed no interstitial telomere sites with chromosomal rearrangements.

Several cytogenetic studies in *Oligoryzomys* have shown the occurrence of chromosomal rearrangements as pericentric inversion, sex chromosomes polymorphism, and supernumerary chromosomes. In Table 2 we summarize karyotype and chromosomal

variations found in *Oryzomys* species, which now based on the systematics of CARLETON and MUSSER (1989) are considered as *Oligoryzomys*.

In the **nigripes** group, *Oligoryzomys nigripes* and *Oligoryzomys delticola* have $2n = 62$, and a similar karyotype is found in almost all the specimens studied (Table 2). Comparative analysis between these karyotypes demonstrate the same diploid number, banding pattern, and rearrangements. Based on these data we believe that different names had been given for the same species, i.e., both these species represent the same taxonomic entity.

MUSSER and CARLETON (1993) argued that *Oligoryzomys eliurus* could be conspecific with *Oligoryzomys nigripes*. Even though the chromosome number ($2n = 62$) is the same, the karyotypes of *Oligoryzomys nigripes* is not similar to that of *Oligoryzomys eliurus* and *Oligoryzomys* aff. *eliurus* (Table 2). SVARTMAN (1989) suggested that the samples with $2n = 62$ identified as *Oligoryzomys eliurus* and *Oligoryzomys* aff. *eliurus* should be considered the same taxonomic entity (*Oligoryzomys eliurus*) and that *Oligoryzomys* aff. *eliurus* with $2n = 52$ represent a cryptic species from *Oligoryzomys* aff. *eliurus* with $2n = 62$, because they are morphologically indistinguishable (MAIA 1988).

Some of samples originally identified as *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus* actually demonstrated five different diploid numbers. Furthermore, the populations of this species from Tierra del Fuego and Rio Negro and populations of *Oligoryzomys I. philippii* from Valdivia with $2n = 56$ were recognized, respectively, as *Oligoryzomys magellanicus* and *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus* (GALLARDO and PALMA 1990). Populations of *Oligoryzomys longicaudatus* with $2n = 64$, described by GARDNER and PATTON (1976), were considered as *Oligoryzomys microtis* by MUSSER and CARLETON (1993) (Table 2).

MUSSER and CARLETON (1993) considered the specimens referred to *Oligoryzomys fornesi* by MYERS and CARLETON (1981) as *Oligoryzomys microtis* (Table 2).

Regarding the **flavescens** group, SBALQUEIRO et al. (1991) argued that the diploid number difference found in *Oligoryzomys flavescens* from Uruguay, Argentina, and Brazil ($2n = 64$ to 66) can be explained by supernumerary chromosomes.

In addition, three different karyotypes were found in *Oligoryzomys fulvescens* (assuming *Oligoryzomys delicatus* = *fulvescens*). The difference between 54 and 60 was explained by the inclusion of three additional small acrocentric pairs (Table 2).

Based on karyotypic and distributional data, we suggest that *Oligoryzomys* sp. 1 and *Oligoryzomys* sp. 2 reported in this paper, can be placed in the **nigripes**

Table 2. Karyotypic data on rodents of the genus *Oligoryzomys*. Group 1 = *nigripes*; group 2 = *flavescens*; group 3 = *fulvescens*; group 4 = *microtis*; and group 5 = *andinus*

Group	Species	Locality	2n	FN	Rearrangement	Reference	
1	<i>Oligoryzomys nigripes</i>	São Paulo, Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)	62	80, 81, 82	pericentric inversions of pair 3,4,8; X and Y polymorphism	ALMEIDA and YONENAGA-YASSUDA 1991; SILVA 1994	
		Bahia, Espírito Santo, Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)	62	78, 80, 81, 82	pericentric inversion and sex pair polymorphism loss of one sex chromosome	ZANCHIN 1988	
		Epírito Santo (Brazil)	61				GEISE 1995
		Rio de Janeiro, Minas Gerais (Brazil)	62	82			MYERS and CARLETON 1981
		Paraguay	62	80			
		Buenos Aires (Argentina)	62	82		pericentric inversion of pair 21	ESPINOSA and REIG 1991
		Maldonado, Paysandu, Colonia, Durazno (Uruguay)	62	80		pericentric inversion of pair 3; inversion, deletion and loss of chromosomes	BRUM-ZORRILLA et al. 1988
		60	76				
		Paraná, Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)	62	79, 80, 81, 82		pericentric inversion of pair 3 and, eventually, pair 10; sex chromosomes polymorphism	SBALQUEIRO 1989
		2	<i>Oligoryzomys delicola</i>	Brasília (Brazil)	62	64	
Pernambuco (Brazil)	52			68	X chromosome polymorphism	FURTADO 1981; MAIA et al. 1983; ALMEIDA et al. 1984	
Rio Negro (Argentina)	62			64			ESPINOSA and REIG 1991, referred to
Tierra del Fuego (Argentina)	56			64	pericentric inversion and		
Tierra del Fuego (Argentina)	56			66	X morphological variation		<i>Oligoryzomys longicaudatus</i>
Peru	54						GALLARDO and PALMA 1990
	68			74 or 76			GARDNER and PATTON 1976
	60			76			
Venezuela	62			74 or 76			
Valdivia (Chile)	56			70	X polymorphism		GALLARDO and GONZÁLEZ 1977, referred to <i>Oligoryzomys l. philippii</i>
2	<i>Oligoryzomys cf. longicaudatus</i>	Jujuy, Tucumán (Argentina)	58	74		ESPINOSA and REIG 1991	
		Buenos Aires, Córdoba (Argentina)	66	68	superumerary chromosome and Y polymorphism	ESPINOSA and REIG 1991	
		Boca Cerrada, Punta Lara (Argentina)	67	70	autosomal pair 3 and Y polymorphism	BRUM-ZORRILLA et al. 1988	
			66				

Table 2 (continued).

Group	Species	Locality	2n	FN	Rearrangement	Reference
		Montevideo, Colonia, Maldonado, Artigas, Fray Bentos, Canelones (Uruguay)	66 64	68, 70 66	pericentric inversion	BRUM-ZORRILLA et al. 1988
		Paraná, Rio Grande do Sul (Brazil)	64-66 64/65	66-68 66/67	supernumerary chromosome	SBALQUEIRO et al. 1991
	<i>Oligoryzomys</i> cf. <i>flavescens</i>	Jujuy, Tucumán (Argentina)	66-68	68-70	X and Y polymorphism	ESPINOSA and REIG 1991
3	<i>Oligoryzomys fubescens</i>	Costa Rica	54	68		GARDNER and PATTON 1976
		Guatemala	60	74	X polymorphism	HAIDUK et al. 1979
		Venezuela	60	72		KIBLSKY 1969, referred to <i>Oligoryzomys delicatus</i>
		Suriname	60, 64			BAKER et al. 1983 referred to <i>Oligoryzomys delicatus</i>
4	<i>Oligoryzomys microtis</i>	Paraguay	62	64	X polymorphism	MYERS and CARLETON 1981, referred to <i>Oligoryzomys fornesi</i>
		Peru	64-66 64	66-68 66		GARDNER and PATTON 1976, referred to <i>Oligoryzomys longicaudatus</i>
5	<i>Oligoryzomys andinus</i>	Peru	60	70		GARDNER and PATTON 1976
	<i>Oligoryzomys chacoensis</i>	Paraguay	58	74		MYERS and CARLETON 1981

or the *flavescens* group, but taxonomic revision will be necessary before it is possible to clarify the position of these species into the groups that were described by CARLETON and MUSSER (1989).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are grateful to Dr. Miguel T. Rodrigues and Dr. James Bogart for their valuable comments and suggestions on the manuscript; to Dr. Miguel T. Rodrigues, Pedro L. B. da Rocha and Gabriel Skuk for collecting the specimens. We are also indebted to Dr. Ângela M. Vianna-Morgante and Msc. Ana Carolina C. Barbosa for FISH assistance; to Miriam Romeo Silva, Cristina M. Barnabé and Dr. Tien Hsi Chu for technical assistance. This work was supported by CNPq, CAPES, FAPESP and FINEP.

REFERENCES

- Almeida EJC and Yonenaga-Yassuda Y, (1985). Robertsonian fusion, pericentric inversion and sex chromosome heteromorphisms in *Oryzomys subflavus* (Cricetidae, Rodentia). *Caryologia* 38: 129–137.
- Almeida EJC and Yonenaga-Yassuda Y, (1991). Pericentric inversions and sex chromosome heteromorphisms in *Oryzomys nigripes* (Rodentia, Cricetidae). *Caryologia* 44: 63–73.
- Almeida EJC, Maia V and Svartman M, (1984). Padrões de bandas em *Oryzomys* aff. *eliurus* (Cricetidae, Rodentia). *Ciênc. Cult. (supl.)* 36: 769.
- Baker RJ, Koop BF and Haiduk MW, (1983). Resolving systematic relationships with G bands: a study of five genera of South American cricetine Rodents. *Syst. Zool.* 32: 403–416.
- Brum-Zorrilla N, Fronza TG, Wainberg R, Vidal-Rioja L and Zwirger N, (1988). *Oryzomys flavescens* and *O. delticola* chromosomes (Rodentia, Cricetidae) from Uruguay and Argentina. *Caryologia* 41: 275–288.
- Carleton MD and Musser GG, (1989). Systematic studies of Oryzomyine rodents (Muridae, Sigmodontinae): a synopsis of Microrozomys. *Bull. Am. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 191: 1–83.
- Dutrillaux B and Couturier J, (1981). La pratique de l'analyse chromosomique. Mason. Paris.
- Eicher E, (1966). Improved air-drying technique for recovery of all stages of meiosis in the mammalian testis. *Mamm. Chromosome Newsl.* 20: 74.
- Espinosa MB and Reig OA, (1991). Cytogenetics and karyosystematics of South American Oryzomyine rodents (Cricetidae, Sigmodontinae) III. Banding karyotypes of Argentinian Oligoryzomys. *Z. Säugetierkunde* 56: 306–317.
- Furtado VV, (1981). Diversidade cromossômica em roedores das famílias Cricetidae e Caviidae de Pernambuco, Brasil. PhD Thesis. Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.
- Gallardo M and González LA, (1977). Sex chromosome polymorphism in *Oryzomys longicaudatus philippii* (Rodentia, Cricetidae). *Experientia* 30: 312–314.
- Gallardo MH and Palma E, (1990). Systematics of *Oryzomys longicaudatus* (Rodentia: Muridae) in Chile. *J. Mamm.* 71: 333–342.
- Gardner AL and Patton JL, (1976). Karyotypic variation in Oryzomyine rodents (Cricetidae) with comments on chromosomal evolution in the Neotropical Cricetine Complex. *Occas. Pap. Mus. Zool. L.A. State Univ.* 49: 1–48.
- Geise L, (1995). Os roedores sigmodontinae (Rodentia, Muridae) do Estado do Rio de Janeiro: sistemática, citogenética, distribuição e variação geográfica. PhD Thesis. Instituto de Biologia, Universidade Federal Rio de Janeiro, Brazil.
- Haiduk MW, Bickham JW and Schmidly DJ, (1979). Karyotypes of six species of *Oryzomys* from Mexico and Central America. *J. Mamm.* 60: 610–615.
- Hershkovitz P, (1966). Mice, land bridges and Latin American faunal interchange. In: *Ectoparasites of Panama* (eds RLE Wenzel and VJ Tipton) *Field. Mus. Nat. Hist., Chicago* III.
- Kiblisly P, (1969). The chromosomes of two species of the genus *Oryzomys* (Rodentia-Cricetidae). *Experientia* 25: 1338–1339.
- Lee C, Sasi R and Lin CC, (1993). Interstitial localization of telomeric DNA sequences in the muntjac chromosomes: further evidence for tandem chromosome fusions in the karyotypic evolution of the Asian muntjacs. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* 63: 156–159.
- Loidl J, Nairz K and Klein F, (1991). Meiotic chromosome synapsis in a haploid yeast. *Chromosoma* 100: 221–228.
- Maia V, (1988). Homologia cromossômica entre cariótipos de $2n = 52$, $NF = 68$ e $2n = 62$, $NF = 64$ de exemplares de *Oryzomys* aff. *eliurus* (Cricetidae, Rodentia). *Ciênc. Cult. (supl.)* 40: 760.
- Maia V, Lafayette JM and Pinto MW, (1983). Dados cromossômicos (bandas G, C e RONS) em *Oryzomys* aff. *eliurus* (Cricetidae, Rodentia) de Pernambuco. *Ciênc. Cult. (supl.)* 35: 713.
- Meyne J, Baker RJ, Hobart HH, Hsu TC, Ryder OA, Ward GO, Wiley JE, Wurster-Hill DH, Yates TL and Moyzis RK, (1990). Distribution of non-telomeric sites of the (TTAGGG)_n telomeric sequence in vertebrate chromosomes. *Chromosoma* 99: 3–10.
- Musser GG and Carleton MD, (1993). Family Muridae. In: *Mammals Species of the World: a Taxonomic and Geographic Reference* (eds DE Wilson and DM Reeder) Smithsonian Institution Press. Washington and London, p. 501–755.
- Myers P and Carleton MD, (1981). The species of *Oryzomys* (Oligoryzomys) in Paraguay and the identity of Azara's "rat sixième ou rat tarse noir". *Misc. Publ. Mus. Zool. Mich.* 161: 1–41.
- Nanda I and Schmid M, (1994). Localization of the telomeric (TTAGGG)_n sequence in chicken (*Gallus domesticus*) chromosomes. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* 65: 190–193.
- Nanda I, Schneider-Rasp S, Winking H and Schmid M, (1995). Loss of telomeric sites in the chromosomes of *Mus musculus domesticus* (Rodentia, Muridae) during Robertsonian rearrangements. *Chrom. Res.* 3: 399–409.
- Reig OA, (1986). Diversity patterns and differentiation of high Andean rodents. In: *High Altitude Tropical Biogeography* (eds F Vuilleumier and M Monasterio) Oxford University Press, New York, p. 404–439.
- Sbalqueiro IJ, (1989). Análises cromossômicas e filogenéticas em algumas espécies de roedores da região Sul do Brasil. PhD Thesis. Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.
- Sbalqueiro IJ, Mattevi MS, Oliveira LFB and Solano MJV, (1991). B chromosome system in populations of *Oryzomys flavescens* (Rodentia, Cricetidae) from southern Brazil. *Acta Theriol.* 36: 193–199.

- Silva MJ de J, (1994). Estudos cromossômicos e de complexos sinaptonêmicos em roedores brasileiros da tribo Oryzomyini (Cricetidae, Rodentia). Master Thesis, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil.
- Svartman M, (1989). Levantamento cariotípico de roedores da região do Distrito Federal. Master Thesis, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade de São Paulo, Brazil.
- Svartman M and Almeida EJC, (1992). Sex chromosome polymorphisms in *Oryzomys* aff. *subflavus* (Cricetidae, Rodentia) from Central Brazil. *Caryologia* 45: 313–324.
- Tate GHH, (1932). The taxonomic history of the South and Central American cricetid rodents of the genus *Oryzomys*. Part 2: subgenus *Oligoryzomys*, *Thallomyscus* and *Melanomys*. *Am. Mus. Novit.* 580: 1–19.
- Vermeesch JR, de Meurichy W, van den Berghe H, Marynen P and Petit P, (1996). Differences in the distribution and nature of the interstitial telomeric (TTAGGG)_n sequences in the chromosomes of the Giraffidae, okapi (*Okapia johnstoni*), and giraffe (*Giraffa camelopardalis*): evidence for ancestral telomeres at the okapi polymorphic rob(4; 26) fusion site. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* 72: 310–315.
- Wiley JE, Meyne J, Little ML and Stout JC, (1992). Interstitial hybridization sites of the (TTAGGG)_n telomeric sequence on the chromosomes of some North American hylid frogs. *Cytogenet. Cell Genet.* 61: 55–57.
- Zanchin NIT, (1988). Estudos cromossômicos em orizomíinos e equimídeos da Mata Atlântica. Master Thesis. Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

