# Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia

Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo

Volume 45(16):215-229, 2005

www.scielo.br/paz.htm

ISSN impresso: 0031-1049 ISSN on-line: 1807-0205

### Phylogenetic relationships of a new species of *Apostolepis* from brazilian cerrado with notes on the *Assimilis* group (Serpentes: Colubridae: Xenodontinae: Elapomorphini)

HEBERT FERRAREZZI<sup>1</sup> FAUSTO ERRITTO BARBO<sup>1</sup> CRISTINA ESPAÑA ALBUQUERQUE<sup>1,2</sup>

#### ABSTRACT

The assimilis species group is one of the several assemblages that can be distinguished within the genus Apostolepis. The group is characterized by a uniform red dorsal pattern, broad nucho-cervical collars, enlarged light snout blotch, dark ventral head, and entirely black terminal shield. A new species is here recognized as a member of the assimilis group and its phylogenetic relationships to A. assimilis and A. cearensis is inferred by means of cladistic analysis. Apostolepis ammodites sp. non. can be easily diagnosed from all congeners by many autapomorphies and an exclusive combination of features. Comparative data are presented on scalation, coloration, dentition, osteology, and geographic distribution, with some notes on feeding and reproductive biology. The new species occurs through central Brazilian Cerrado, filling a gap between the areas occupied by A. assimilis and A. cearensis. Although superficially resembling A. assimilis, parsimony analysis based on morphological data indicates its phylogenetic relationships closest to A. cearensis. Systematic analysis shows that two recently described species, A. freitasi and A. tertulianobeui, must be relegated as junior synonymies of A. cearensis and A. assimilis, respectively.

KEYWORDS: Serpentes, Colubridae, Elapomorphini, *Apostolepis, assimilis* group, *A. ammodites* sp. nov., phylogenetic systematics, biogeography.

#### INTRODUCTION

The South American fossorial snakes of the genus *Apostolepis* Cope 1862 consist of a highly speciose clade of about 30 species, and a number of yet undescribed ones. The taxonomy of such an already difficult group (Vanzolini, 1986; Ferrarezzi, 1993; Harvey, 1999) has become increasingly complex, not only due to the lack of a comprehensive systematic review but, also because of a recent proliferation of poorly described new taxa (*e.g.*, Lema, 2002, 2003, 2004a,b). This is the case of two new species, lately described by Lema (2004a,b) and based on a single specimen each: *Apostolepis freitasi* from central Bahia,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Laboratório de Herpetologia, Instituto Butantan. Av. Dr. Vital Brazil, 1500, CEP 05503-900, São Paulo, SP, Brasil. E-mail: hferrarezzi@butantan.gov.br, faustobarbo@butantan.gov.br

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Departamento de Cirurgia, Faculdade de Medicina Veterinária e Zootecnia Universidade de São Paulo. Av. Prof. Dr. Orlando Marques de Paiva, 87, CEP 05508-900, São Paulo, SP, Brasil. E-mail: cris.espana@butantan.gov.br

and *Apostolepis tertulianobeui* from Minas Gerais, both being undistinguishable from *Apostolepis cearensis* and *Apostolepis assimilis*, respectively, but clearly referred to the *assimilis* group as defined by Ferrarezzi (1993).

Elapomorphus assimilis was described by Reinhardt (1861), from Minas Gerais, Brazil. Latter, Gomes (1915) described Apostolepis cearensis based on four specimens from State of Ceará, Northeastern Brazil, comparing it to A. assimilis and A. coronata (= Elapomorphus lepidus). Apparently unaware of Gomes's species, Werner (1924, 1925) described in two subsequent papers A. sanctaeritae, based on a single specimen from "Santa Rita", Brazil (Brasilien Expedition V.F. Steindachner, 1903) and A. amarali based on a specimen from unknown South American locality. The last name has undisputedly been considered a junior synonymy of A. cearensis (Amaral, 1930, 1936; Peters & Orejas-Miranda, 1970). Recently, Ferrarezzi (1993), recognized the assimilis species group of Apostolepis to include A. assimilis and A. cearensis, and considered A. sanctaeritae as conspecific to A. cearensis, rather than a synonym of flavotorquata (Amaral, 1930, 1936; Peters & Orejas-Miranda, 1970) or a valid species (Lema & Fernandes, 1997; Lema, 2002).

The Instituto Butantan received, in the last few years, several specimens of a clearly distinctive but unnamed elapomorphine species of the genus *Apostolepis*, that seems to be quite common within the Cerrado domain of central Brazil. It appears to be intermediate between *Apostolepis assimilis* and *A. cearensis*, in superficial morphology and through it geographical distribution. The present paper refers to the formal definition and diagnoses of the *assimilis* species group along with an attempt to elucidate the taxonomic status and systematic relationships of its component species.

#### MATERIAL AND METHODS

Specimens: A total of 25 museum specimens known to represent the new species were examined. In addition to published figures and descriptions, representative individuals or samples of most species of *Apostolepis* were analyzed (Ferrarezzi, 1993), in order to fundament a brief character analyses of the group. Relevant material observed for external features (scalation, color pattern and proportions), cranial osteology, and hemipenial anatomy is listed in Appendix 1. Specimens from the following institutions with there respective acronyms were analyzed (used in the text, figures, and appendix): IB, Laboratório de Herpetologia, Instituto Butantan, São Paulo; MNRJ, Museu Nacional, Universidade Federal do Rio de Janeiro; CHUNB, Coleção Herpetológica da Universidade de Brasília; MZUSP, Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo; FUNED, Fundação Ezequiel Dias, Belo Horizonte, MG.

Along with the description of the new species we report the state observed for nearly all external characters currently thought to be diagnostic for the new species relative to its congeners (Ferrarezzi, 1993; Harvey, 1999; Harvey *et al.*, 2001). Morphological information about members of the *dorbigny* species group were obtained from Harvey, 1999 and Embert (pers. comm.) on Ventral shields were counted using Dowling's (1951) method.

In addition to the observation of external morphology, we prepared osteological and hemipenial material of the new species and its closest relatives *Apostolepis assimilis* and *A. cearensis*, as well as of *A. pymi* and *A. flavotorquata*, used as outgroups. Skulls of preserved specimens were prepared either dried (with the aid of dermestid beetles and by chemical maceration). Hemipenes were mounted with agar jelly, following the procedures described by Pesantes (1994) for inverted organs from preserved specimens, or by Manzani & Abe (1988) for freshly killed ones. The nomenclature used for hemipenial structures is based on Dowling & Savage (1960) and Zaher (1999).

Species habitat correlations were made by plotting distribution localities in the South American vegetation map of Hueck & Siebert (1972).

Comparative analyses: Monophyly of an ingroup comprising the assimilis species group (Apostolepis assimilis, A. cearensis and A. ammodites sp. nov. - sensu Ferrarezzi, 1993) has been assumed as a working hypothesis. Representatives of the dorbignyi group (A. dorbignyi, A. multicincta) were included as the closest outgroup, whereas those belonging to the *flavotorquata* group (A. flavotorquata, A. pymi) were taken as a more distant outgroup to root the tree resultant from cladistic analysis. The empirical justification for this assumption about ingroup-outgroup demarcation can be found in Ferrarezzi (1993), but it is also implicit in our character matrix. Character states observed were numerically coded in discrete binary and multistate transformation series, in order to construct the data matrix ready to be subjected to a parsimony analysis using Hennig86 applicative (Farris, 1982). Statements regarding evolutionary direction (polarity) of morphological transformation series (character states) were only assessed a posteriori to parsimony analyses, following the principles

recommended by Farris (1982) and Nixon & Carpenter (1993).

The criterion used to evaluate phylogenetic relationships between the new species and other ingroup taxa was apomorphy based (Hennig, 1966). Systematic relationships and biogeographic history of the new species are briefly discussed in a cladistic and vicariance context.

#### The assimilis species group

Definition and diagnosis: Apostolepis of medium size; nasal separated from preocular; fifth supralabial scale only in contact with the parietal; temporal 0+1; terminal shield pointed in a sharply edge; large internasal white blotch covering the snout and reaching the frontal shield; moderate to extremely broad and sharply evident white and black nucho-cervical collars; dorsal pattern uniformly red (without any trace of dark stripes); white ventral surface, except the gullar region which is dark pigmented (black spoted) to mostly black; terminal shield black, undifferentiated from the tail tip coloration. Duvernoy's gland moderately developed; harderian gland well developed, reaching the temporal region, adductor jaw muscles moderately developed, reaching the dorsal surface of the brain case.

*Distribution:* Northeastern, central, and southeastern Brazil to neighboring northeastern Argentina; through the Caatinga and Cerrado domains to mesophytic forest of upper Paraná.

*Content:* At least *Apostolepis assimilis* (Reinhardt) (Fig. 1), *A. cearensis* Gomes (Fig. 2), and *A. ammodites* sp. nov. (Fig. 3). Perhaps also *A. quirogai, A. arenaria* and *A. gaboi* (see discutions and conclusions).

*Remarks:* The monophyly of the *assimilis* group, as here defined, is still uncertain due to the complete lack of osteological information for several species not analyzed in the present study.

#### Key to the Apostolepis of the assimilis species group

 Dorsal pattern uniform red, without any vestige of dark stripes or lines; white and black nuchocervical collars relatively wide, the posterior edge

- 1'. Without the above combination of features ...... other *Apostolepis*

#### Apostolepis assimilis (Reinhardt, 1861)

(Figura 1, 7a, 9a, 10a)

- *Elapomorphus assimilis* Reinhardt, 1861:235. Type-locality: Minas Gerais, Brazil.
- Apostolepis tertulianobeni Lema, 2004b:155-160 (New synonym).

Characters:		A. assimilis	A. ammodites sp. nov.	A. cearensis				
		(N = 30)	(N = 25)	(N = 56)				
Snout-rostral s	shape	Not prominent and rounded	Slightly prominent but rounded	Strongly prominent and pointed				
Ventrals	females	246-270	234-252	227-248				
	males	236-267	221-235	215-237				
Subcaudals	females	25-31	26-31	23-31				
	males	31-39	32-36	27-32				
White nuchal of	collar	2-3 sacales long	3-6 scales long	4-5 scales long				
Black cervical	collar	3-4 scales long	4-7 scales long	4-6 scales long				
White postcer	vical collar	Absent	1-3 scales long	Absent				
Light supralab	ial blotch	Moderate, exceeding forth labial	Small to indistinct, mostly confined to forth labial	Small to indistinct, mostly confined to forth labial				
Ventral head c	oloration	Moderately pigmented	Heavily pigmented to mostly black	Heavily pigmented to mostly black				
Geographic di	stribution	Mesophitic forest and cerrados of Upper Paraná, Upper São Francisco, and Eastern Paraguay basins	Cerrados of Middle São Francisco and Upper Tocantins basins	Caatinga domain				

TABLE 1. Selected external features diagnostic of Apostolepis ammodites sp. nov. relative to A. cearensis and A. assimilis.

TABLE 2. Tooth counts for the species of the assimilis group of Apostolepis.

	A. assimilis	A. ammodites	A. cearensis
	(n = 11)	(n = 4)	(n = 2)
Maxillary teeth	3-4 (3.9) +, 2	4 +2	4 +2
Palatine teeth	5	5	5
Pterygoid teeth	3-4 (3.8)	3-4 (3.7)	2
Dentary teeth	7-9 (8.0)	9-10 (9.7)	7

*Diagnosis:* (Tables 1 and 2; Fig. 1) Rostral short and rounded, not prominent; little visible from above; ventrals 236-267 in males, 246-270 in females; subcaudals 31-39 in males, 25-31 in females (Fig. 8), light snout blotch cream (Fig. 7a); white supralabial blotch usually covering at least two supralabials; a single white nuchal color 2-3 scales long, followed by a black cervical color 3-4, restricted dorsally.

*Distribution:* Caatingas, central Brazilian cerrados and interspersing mesophytic forest of southeastern Brazil, but uncertain to northern Argentina (Giraudo & Scrocchi, 1998); upper Paraná, upper São Francisco, and eastern Paraguay basins (Fig. 6).

*Natural history: Apostolepis assimilis* is an inhabitant of open vegetation areas from Atlantic forest and Cerrado, also considered an urban species (Pereira *et al.*, 2004). It preys on small amphisbaenians and scolecophidian snakes (Ferrarezzi, 1993; Marques *et al.*, pers. comm.). A female had six vitellogenic follicles and the largest measuring was 30,91 mm.

Remarks: A. tertulianobeui Lema, 2004 is clearly a member of the assimilis species group (sensu Ferrarezzi, 1993), judging from color pattern and scalation features. In most relevant diagnostic features presented by Lema (2004b), the holotype of A. tertulianobeui does not differ from the range of variation we have observed in a large sample of A. assimilis (Ferrarezzi, 1993). Eventually, a narrower cervical collar can be found in a few individuals as an intrapopulational polymorphism, but a reduced dark pigmentation, as referred by Lema (2004b), may be better explained by fading in the long time preserved holotype specimen. Although there is no specific type-locality, besides the state of Minas Gerais, the distrbution of A. tertulianobeui is cincident with the distributional area of Apostolepis assimilis (Fig. 6). Therefore, even though we did not examine the holotype of A. tertulianobeui, we have no doubt that this name must be relegated as a junior synonym of A. assimilis.

#### Apostolepis cearensis Gomes, 1915

(Figuras 2, 7c, 9c, 10c)

*Apostolepis cearensis* Gomes, 1915:122. Type-locality: Estado do Ceará, Brazil.

- *Apostolepis sanctae-ritae* Werner, 1924:43. Type-locality: Santa Rita, now Ibipetuba, Estado da Bahia, Brazil (New synonym).
- Apostolepis amarali Werner, 1925:62. Type-locality: South America (here restricted to Northeastern Brazil).

*Diagnosis:* (Fig. 2) Rostral somewhat pointed and prominent; nasal well separated from preocular; temporals usually 0+1, the 5<sup>th</sup> supralabial in contact with parietal (occasionally 0+0: 5<sup>th</sup>+6<sup>th</sup> in contact); ventrals 215-237 in males, 227-248 in females; subcaudals 27-32 in males and 23-31 in females (Table 1; Fig. 8); terminal caudal shield pointed and uniformly black; head black postero-laterally, with a large light area on snout (red in life, reaching frontal) (Fig. 7) and small to indistinct light supralabial spot; a broad white nuchal color (covering 4-5 scale rows) followed by a similar extensive black cervical color; dorsum uniform colored, without stripes; ventral surface uniform white, except by the heavily dark pigmented infralabial-gular region.

*Distribution:* Widespread within the Caatinga morphoclimatic domain of northeastern Brazil (Fig. 6).

Remarks: The recently described species *A. freitasi* Lema, 2004 is clearly a member of the *assimilis* species group (sensu Ferrarezzi, 1993), judging from the features of color pattern and scalation. In all diagnostic features presented by Lema (2004a), the holotype of *A. freitasi* is identical to *A. cearensis*. It is not surprising that Lema (2004a) traces no comparison of his new species with the last one, since if this have been done, the author would have failed to find any diagnostic feature at all. The same can be said about the type-locality which is within of the geographic distribution area of *A. cearensis* (Fig. 6). Therefore, even without having examined the holotype of *A. freitasi*, we have no doubt that this name must be included as a junior synonym of *A. cearensis*.

#### Apostolepis ammodites sp. nov.

(Figuras 3, 4, 7b, 9b, 10b, appendix 2)

*Holotype:* BRAZIL: TOCANTINS: Palmas (10°02'S, 47°20'W, 230 m altitude), adult **?**, IB 65267, deposited at "Coleção Herpetológica Alphonse Richard Hoge", Laboratório de Herpetologia, Instituto Butantan.

*Paratypes:* BRAZIL: **O'** BAHIA: Cocos (14°11'S, 44°32'W, 559 m altitude), IB 61525; Correntina (13°20'S, 44°38'W, 561 m) CHUNB s/n°; DISTRITO FEDERAL (15°46'S, 47°55'W), IB 49363; GOIÁS:

(IB 15723); Cana Brava, Rio Cana Brava (13°30'S, 48°21'W, 400 m), IB 40478; MZUSP 8007; São Domingos (13°23'S, 46°19'W, 667 m), IB 62593, 67392; MATO GROSSO: São Felix, Lago Itacy (11°37'S, 50°40'W, 195 m), IB 15723; MINAS GERAIS: Betim (19°58'S, 44°11'W, 860 m), FUNED 003; Curvelo (18°45'S, 44°25'W, 632 m), IB 22410; Serra do Cipó (19°24'S, 43°19'W, 689 m), MZUSP 7595; Três Marias (18°12'S, 45°14'W, 538 m), FUNED s/n°; Vazante (17°59'S, 49°54'W, 680 m), IB 48041; TOCANTINS: Gurupi (11°43'S, 49°04'W, 287 m); Lageado (09°45'S, 48°21'W, 202 m), IB 64533 (Adult 9), IB 64534 (juvenile **?**), IB 64571 (Adult **?**), IB 64613 (Adult **?**), IB 65680 (juvenile O), IB 65681 (Adult 9); Palmas (10°02'S, 48°20'W, 230 m), IB 65261 (Adult 9), IB 65262 (Adult O'), IB 65263 (Adult O'), IB 65264 (Adult O'), IB 65265 (Adult P), IB 65266 (Adult P), IB 65268 (juvenile O), IB 65269 (Adult 9), IB 65664 (Adult O'), IB 65688 (Adult P); Porto Nacional (10°42'S, 48°25'W, 212 m), IB 65682 (Adult O'), IB 65683 (Adult **?**), IB 66166, (Adult **?**); Santa Isabel, Ilha do Bananal (15°17'S, 49°25'W, 611 m), IB 12324; unspecified locality: IB 71470 (juvenile 9), IB 71471 (Adult ?).

*Etymology:* Ambivalent connotation, from the Greek,  $\alpha\mu\mu\sigma\sigma$  (ammos) meaning sand plus  $\delta\psi\tau\epsilon\sigma$  (dytes, the internal 'y' may be rendered by 'i' in Latin) meaning anyone that likes immersions or diving, but furthermore from the Latin *ammos* meaning around or having two plus *dites* meaning richly adorned or sumptuous. In allusion both to the habitat and habits of the new species and to its unique extravagant nucho-cervical color pattern having two white collars around a black collar.

Diagnosis: Rostral nearly roundish but somewhat prominent, its portion visible from above about 1/3 to 1/2of the interprefrontal suture length; ventrals 221-235 in males to 234-252 in females; subcaudals 32-36 in males and 26-31 in females (Table 1; Fig. 8); light snout blotch reddish in life (Fig. 7) (fading to yellowish in preservative) and a small to indistinct light supralabial spot; white nuchal collars about 3-6 scales long, black cervical collar 4-7 scales long, followed by an additional postcervical white collar 1-3 scales long; dorsum uniformly red (without stripes); ventral surface uniformly light (unpigmented), except under the head and neck; premaxillae bounded to maxillae; dorsal laminae of nasal contacting frontal and prefrontal; maxillary teeth 4+2, dentary teeth 9-10; pterygoid teeth 3-4 (Table 2).



FIGURE 1. Apostolepis assimilis. IB 72970 from Carapicuíba, São Paulo. Photo by A.C.O.R. Costa.



FIGURE 2. Apostolepis cearensis. Uncatalogued specimen from Feira de Santana Bahia. Photo by O.A.V. Marques.

Description and variation: Relatively large species of *Apostolepis* (maximum total length for a female 634 mm). Head subcylindrical, not distinct from neck, with somewhat prominent but rounded snout; body elongate cylindrical; tail short (males (n = 10): 9-11% of total length, mean 10%; females (n = 14): 7-9% of total length, mean 8%), thick and bluntly pointed (Appendix 2). Eye minute, with a subelliptic (nearly round when dilated) pupil.

*Scalation:* (Fig. 4) Rostral somewhat prominent but roundish, the portion visible from above about 1/2 to 1/3 of its distance to frontal. Nasal always separated from preocular by a broad prefrontal contact with second supralabial. Six supralabials (second and third entering eye). Temporals 0+1, usually only the fifth supralabial in contact with parietal (occasionally also the forth making a slightly contact). Occipitals 3-5, the lateral very large. Synfisial separated from chinshields by contact between first infralabials; usually seven infralabials, the first four in contact with anterior

chinshields, and the forth and fifth in contact with posterior chinshields; anterior chinshields wider and nearly equal to the posteriors in length. Ventrals 221-235 (mean = 229.2) in males (n = 10) to 234-250 (mean = 242.6) in females (n = 14); subcaudals 32-36 (mean = 33.4) (n = 10) in males and 26-31 (mean = 28.5) in females (n = 13) (Table 1; Fig. 8); terminal caudal shield short, high and blunt pointed, in contact with 5-6 scales around.

Dentition and cranial osteology: (Table 2; Figs. 9 and 10) Tooth series short and with stout teeth. Maxillary very short, with four solid teeth increasing in size posteriorly followed, after a distinct interspace, by a pair of large deeply grooved fangs, situated bellow the eye. Five palatine followed by three or four pterygoid teeth; the anterior end of pterygoid barely extending beyond its articulation with ectopterygoid, and just touching the palatine. Dentary short, bearing 9-10 subequal teeth, increasing in lenght to the forth and then gradually decreasing to the last one. Reduced posterior process of dentary extending just a behind splenial-angular hinge; meckelian channel closed along most of the



FIGURE 3. Apostolepis ammodites sp. nov. Paratype IB 61525, female, (total length 22 mm) from Cocos, Bahia, (Fazenda Francisco do Rio Formoso), in life. Photo by O.A.V. Marques.



FIGURE 4. Head of the holotype of *Apostolepis ammodites* sp. nov. (IB 65267) in dorsal (A), lateral (B) and ventral (C) views, and tail tip in ventral view (D).

dentary length. Ectopterygoid flattened and deeply forked anteriorly, forming a fairly large maxiloectopterygoid fenestra. Premaxillae very thick and robust, the lateral processes bounded to the maxillae, and with a stout dorsal process making very broad contact with the dorsal laminae of nasals, which is very wide and nearly rectangular, broader than and making an extensive transversal suture with the frontal and with the antero-dorsal edge of prefrontal. Supratemporals small, distantly separated from parietal and not reaching the posterior level of occipitals. Postorbital bone absent.

*Hemipenis:* The organ is subcilindrical and stout with a narrower base. Apex is just slightly bilobate, calyculate, and semicapitate. Spines are nearly uniformly distributed around the body. The *sulcus spermaticus* is forked slightly above the mid-length of the organ, with the branches running centrolineally to the extreme apex.

*Coloration in life:* (Fig. 3) Dorsum uniform vivid orangered (without any vestige of dark stripes); head black postero-laterally (to firsts occipital rows), with a large reddish blotch on snout (covering entirely the prefrontals to reach the anterior end of frontal and supraoculars), a small to indistinct cream supralabial spot posterior to the eye is mostly restricted, if not confined, to the forth supralabial; a broad white nuchal collar (3-6 scales long) followed by a similar extensive



**FIGURE 5.** Physiography of an area inhabited by *Apostolepis ammodites* sp. nov., in the locality of Correntina (Bahia state), where specimen CHUNB s/n° were collected. Photo by C. Nogueira.

black cervical collar (4-7 scales long); and an additional white postcervical collar (1-3 scales long); ventral surface uniformly light (unpigmented), except under the head and neck, where the infralabial, chin and gullar regions are mostly black. The dorso-ventral tail coloration is similar to that of body, and with a distinct black band around the tail tip (7-11.5 scale long); terminal caudal shield uniformly black (not differentiated from tail tip coloration).

*Distribution:* All the known distribution records for the new species fall within the central and northeastern portion of the Cerrado morphoclimatic domain (Fig. 6).

*Natural History: Apostolepis ammodites* sp. nov. is a inhabitant of open vegetation areas of Cerrado (Fig. 5), where it appears to be restricted to sandy soils (F. Curcio, C. Nogueira and M.T. Rodrigues, pers. comm.).

A specimen (IB 64613) contained digested remains of skin of a small unidentified *Amphisbaena* and the head of an ant of the subfamily Attinae. The ant's head is most probably the secondary remain from the stomach content of the amphisbaenid prey.

The new species is oviparous (A. Silveira, pers. comm.). A female (IB 65261, 502 mm SVL) had three vitellogenic follicles and the largest measuring was 15,99 mm. Five males (IB 62593, IB 65262 IB 65263, IB 65268, and IB 65664) had large testes and convoluted deferent ducts. The largest male (IB 62593, 450 mm SVL) had the largest test, with 13,86 mm and was collected in August/2000. The smallest male (IB 65268) with large testes and opaque deferent ducts had 282 mm SVL. It was collected between December/2001 and January/2002.



FIGURE 6. Geographic distribution of *Apostolepis ammodites* sp. nov. (plotted stars represent localities for the type-series – an encircled star indicates the type-locality), with regards to the distribution area of its closest relatives *A. cearensis* (vertical lines) and *A. assimilis* (horizontal lines). Note the tight distributional limits of this allopatric pattern exhibited by the components of the *assimilis* species group.



FIGURE 7. Dorsal view of the snouts of representatives of the *Apostolepis assimilis* species group. (A) *A. assimilis* (IB 68794), (B) *A. ammodites* sp. nov. (holotype IB 65267), and (C) *A. cearensis* (uncatalogued specimen, field number ESTR043).



FIGURE 8. Numbers of ventrals and subcaudals plotted for the type series of *Apostolepis ammodites* sp. nov. (circles), in comparison with a sample of *A. assimilis* (triangles) and *A. cearensis* (squares). Empty symbols represent females and full symbols represent males.

## Characters and character states coded for the cladistic analysis

Characters and respective character states numerically coded and listed below correspond to those in the data matrix of Table 3, which are optimized in the cladogram presented in Figure 11. Linearly ordered multistate transformation series (denoted by NA) were managed under the assumption of additivity during parsimony analysis.

#### Characters

- Coloration (Figs. 1-4, 7):
- 1. Light snout blotch color (Fig. 7):
  - (0) cream or whitish
  - (1) reddish
- 2. Light snout blotch shape:
  - (0) moderate sized irregularly edged blotch(es), confined to prefrontals dorsally
  - (1) a broad regular blotch covering all snout, reaching frontal and supraoculars
- 3. White supralabial blotch:
  - (0) moderate sized, fairly exceeding fourth labial
  - small (mostly confined on fourth labial) to minute or eventually absent
- 4. Pigmentation of the ventral head surface:
  - (0) virtually immaculate
  - (1) irregularly dark blotched.
  - (2) mostly black
- 5. White nuchal collar:
  - (0) narrow (1-2 scales long) if present
  - (1) moderately broad (2-4 scales long)
  - (2) large (4-6 scales long)
- 6. Black cervical collar:
  - (0) narrow (1 scale long)
  - (1) moderate (2-3 scales long)
  - (2) wide (4-6 scales long)
  - (3) extremely long (5-7 scales long)
- 7. Anterior indentation of black cervical collar along vertebral row:
  - (0) absent
  - (1) present
- 8. Ventral extension of black cervical collar:
  - (0) absent without vestiges
  - (1) variable, but usually at list a vestige
- 9. White post-cervical collar:
  - (0) absent
    - (1) present
- 10. Terminal shield color:
  - (0) mostly whitish, differentiated from tip of tail
  - (1) uniformly black, undifferentiated from tip tail

- Scalation (Figs. 4, 7):
- 11. Rostral shield:
  - (0) short and rounded
  - (1) slightly prominent but roundish
  - (2) prominently pointed
- 12. Temporals: Comment: The usual condition in *A. earensis* is state (0), although polymorphism has been observed in some populations. A single species of the *flavotorquata* group exhibits intraspecific polymorphism.
  - (0) 0+1 (posterior temporal present between last supralabial and parietal)
  - 0+0 (no temporals, last two supralabial contacting parietal)
- 13. Infralabials contacting anterior chinshields:
  - (0) four
  - (1) three
- 14. Ventral counts (modal): Comment: The *dorbignyi* group exhibits interspecific variation and were coded as missing data.
  - (0) 217-232 in males, 227-248 in females
  - (1) 241-261 in males, 254-265 in females
- 15. Subcaudal counts (modal):
  - (0) 32-36 in females, 37-41 in males
  - (1) 27-31 in females, 32-37 in males
  - (2) 23-27 in females, 28-31 in males

Cranial osteology (Figs. 9, 10, Tab. 2):

- 16. Number of pterygoid teeth:
  - (0) 3-4 (mode 4)
  - (1) 2
- 17. Number of dentary teeth (mode):
  - (0) 7-8
  - (1) 9-10
- 18. Dentary shape:
  - (0) nearly straight
  - (1) curve
- 19. Prearticular crest of compound bone:
  - (0) higher than surangular crest posteriorly
  - (1) as low as surangular crest
- 20. Shape of mentonian foramen:
  - (0) elongate groove
  - (1) rounded
- 21. Shape of premaxillae:
  - (0) roughly T-shaped, with a distinct ascending process
  - (1) stoutly enlarged without a distinct ascending process, but not pointed
  - (2) strongly enlarged and prominently pointed
- 22. Septomaxillary process of premaxillae:
  - $\left(0\right)$  short and widely separated from each other.
  - (1) moderately long and close to each other
  - (2) long and closer to each other

- 23. Contact between premaxillae and maxillae:
  - (0) distantly separated
    - (1) separated
    - (2) bound to each other
- 24. Width of facial segment of skull:
  - (0) relatively wide, conferring a larger palatineectopterygoid distance
  - (1) relatively narrower
- 25. Ectopterygoid shape:
  - (0) wider and flat
  - (1) narrow and sub cylindrical
- 26. Contact between dorsal laminae of nasals and frontals:
  - (0) absent
  - (1) present, forming a transverse suture
- 27. Shape fronto-parietal suture in dorsal view:
  - (0) U-shaped, no parietal indentation between frontals
  - (1) W-shaped, with an antero-median parietal indentation between frontals
- 28. Width of fronto-parietal suture:
  - (0) short and wide
  - (1) narrower and longer
- 29. Contact between nasal and prefrontal:
  - (0) absent
  - (1) present dorsally
  - (2) present laterally
- Ecology (Fig. 5):
- 30. Habitat (soil):
  - (0) non sandy soils
  - (1) sandy soils
- 31. Habitat (physiognomy):
  - (0) mesophytic forests
    - (1) mesic savannas
    - (2) semiarid caatingas

#### Results of Cladistic analysis

Parsimony analysis of the data matrix in Table 3 resulted in a single most parsimonious tree, which was rooted in the *flavotorquata* species group (Fig. 11). This cladogram presents a highly consistent pattern of character congruence, as denoted by its consistency and retention indexes: length = 43; CI = 0.97, RI = 0.97 (the values are the same under both Wagner and Fitch parsimony options applied to ordered multistate characters). Even if the total amount of data is partitioned into different character classes (*vis:* coloration, scalation, osteology, or ecology), the same single tree topology is obtained from each independent analyses of the four subsets.

The results of the cladistic analysis demonstrate that *A. ammodites* sp. nov. is closer to *A. cearensis* than *A. assimilis* (Fig. 11). This last species appears as the most conservative lineage within the clade. At least with regard to the character sample analyzed here, it remains nearly identical to the common ancestor of the tree species. On the other hand, the lineage leading to *A. ammodites* sp. nov. and *A. cearensis* is the most divergent lineage morphologically, judging from an amount of synapomorphic and autapomorphic traits of coloration and, especially, cranial osteology (Figs. 9, 10 and 11).

Regarding the evolutionary rate of the different classes of morphological data, the scalation features represent the most conservative ones, while the cranial osteology is the most divergent.

#### DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSIONS

At least three other species exhibiting entirely black terminal shield may be further proven to belong to the *assimilis* group Ferrarezzi, unpublished data). These are *Apostolepis arenaria* Rodrigues, 1993, and *A. gaboi* Rodrigues, 1993, a pair of closely related species that shares apomorphies with both *A. ammodites* sp. nov. and *A. cearensis*, plus a few additional features only with the latter, (and thus, may be sister to *A. cearensis*). On the other hand *A. quirogai* Giraudo & Scrocchi, 1998 lacks most derived features of the other species, and may represent the sister taxa of the whole group. The phylogenetic relationships of all of them are left to be discussed in a further study. By now, it is sufficient to explain that keeping them out of here will not disqualify our analysis about the pattern of cladistic relationships of the other species, since they have also been excluded from outgroup comparison.

At least one of the apomorphies determined within the *assimilis* group, a higher degree of rostral prominence, is also shared by several species referred to the *dimidiata* and *arenaria* groups of *Apostolepis* (Ferrarezzi, 1993). Absence of rostral prominence is inferred as a plesiomorphic within *Apostolepis* since it is the common condition in the closely related genera *Elapomorphus* and most species of *Phalotris* (excluding the *nasutus* group, Ferrarezzi, 1993, 1994). An *ad hoc* assumption of our present phylogenetic hypothesis is

**TABLE 3.** Data matrix depicting the taxonomic distribution of morphological character states scored for the cladistic analysis of the *assimilis* species group of *Apostolepis*. Numbered characters and respective states coded as listed and described in the text (1-10 = coloration; 11-15 scalation; 16-29 = osteology; 30-31 = ecology). (-) = missing information, (?) = variable.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31
0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	-	1	0	0	0	0	?	0	0	?
0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	0	0	1	1	?	0	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	0	0
0	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
1	1	1	2	2	3	1	1	1	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	1	1	1	2	1	1
1	1	1	2	2	2	1	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	1	0	2	2	0	1	1	0	1	0	1	1	2
	1 0 0 1 1	$\begin{array}{ccc} 1 & 2 \\ 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$																								

Made with NEXUS Data Editor



**FIGURE 9.** Dorsal view of the skulls and lateral view of mandibles of representatives of the *Apostolepis assimilis* species group. (A) *A. assimilis* (IB 70356), (B) *A. ammodites* sp. nov. (paratype IB 65681), and (C) *A. cearensis* (IB 20220).



**FIGURE 10.** Ventral view of the skulls and lingual view of mandibles of representatives of the *Apostolepis assimilis* species group. (A) *A. assimilis* (IB 70356), (B) *A. ammodites* sp. nov. (paratype IB 65681), and (C) *A. cearensis* (IB 20220).



Independent resolution for each set of characters

**FIGURE 11.** Cladogram depicting the phylogenetic relationships among the components of the *assimilis* species group of *Apostolepis*. This is the single most parsimonious tree derived from the cladistic analysis of the data matrix of Table 3 (length = 43; CI = 0.97, RI = 0.97 under both Wagner and Fitch parsimony options applied to ordered multistate characters). The head and neck external morphology was reconstructed for the hypothetical common ancestors, as a corollary of our theory about cladistic relationships and character state polarities. Differently colored numbers represents four sets of characters. The four small cladograms below represents the tree topology derived from independent analyses of each character set.

that rostral prominence has evolved independently in several elapomorphine lineages. However, a better understanding of phylogenetic relationships, in addition to detailed information on morphometrics and, hopefully, also on ecology (including detailed descriptions of microhabitat use) are still necessary for reliable interpretations of the possible phylogenetic meaning and adaptive value of an enlarged rostral scale in these groups of *Phalotris* and *Apostolepis*. The present discovery of new diagnostic characters arranged in a simple congruent pattern of relationships, although just for a few species, stands as a first step towards a comprehensive resolution of the whole group. The original synapomorphy squeme proposed here represents a testable phylogenetic hypothesis to be corroborated, modified or (mostly important) even refuted, after an advanced survey on these character states for other putative members of a broad-



FIGURE 12. History and pattern of biogeographic relationships among the distribution areas of the species belonging to the *assimilis*group, as hypothesized following a vicariance model of speciation.

based *assimilis* group, as well as other *Apostolepis* in general.

Finally, given the allopatric pattern of distribution (Fig. 6), a hypothesis of the biogeographic history under the assumption of a vicariance model is developed (Fig. 12). The biological area cladogram resulting from the recovered cladistic relationships for the *assimilis* group of *Apostolepis* indicates that the northeastern portion of the Cerrado is more closely connected to the Caatinga than to the southwestern Cerrado region. Further area cladograms derived from other groups of organisms exhibiting the same areas of endemism are needed in order to test the generality of this conjecture.

#### RESUMO

As espécies do grupo assimilis se caracterizam por um padrão dorsal vermelho uniforme, colares nuco-cervicais largos, mancha clara do focinho larga, região ventral da cabeça densamente pigmentada e escudo terminal completamente preto, é um dos vários grupos que podem ser distintos dentro do gênero Apostolepis. Uma nova espécie é aqui reconhecida como membro do grupo assimilis e suas relações filogenéticas para A. assimilis e A. cearensis são inferidas por meio de uma análise cladística. A. ammodites sp. nov. pode ser diagnosticada facilmente de todos os congeneres por muitas autapomorfías e uma combinação exclusiva de caracteres. Dados comparativos são apresentados sobre escutelação, coloração, dentição, osteologia e distribuição geográfica, junto com notas sobre alimentação e biologia reprodutiva. A espécie nova ocorre através do Cerrado brasileiro central, preenchendo o intervalo entre as áreas ocupadas por A. assimilis e A. cearensis. Embora se assemelhe superficialmente a A. assimilis, análises de parcimônia baseadas em dados morfológicos indicam maior parentesco filogenético com A. cearensis. Análises sistemáticas mostram que duas espécies recentemente descritas, A. freitasi e A. tertulianobeui, devem ser consideradas como sinônimos juniores de A. cearensis e A. assimilis, respectivamente.

PALAVRAS-CHAVE: Serpentes, Colubridae, Elapomorphini, *Apostolepis*, grupo *assimilis*, *A. ammodites* sp. nov., sistemática filogenética, biogeografia.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We are indebted to R.J. Sawaya, for kindly taking countless photographies of preserved specimens and skulls (don't forgetting the "Eye Series"). F. Curcio contributed to the preparation of osteological material. O.A.V. Marques, C. Nogueira, F. Curcio, A.C.O.R. Costa, and M.T. Rodrigues provided images of live specimens and their natural habitats, bringing us important information about species in the field, as well as fruitful discussions about the subject. D. Embert provided new information on the variation of A. multicincta. M.T. Rodrigues furnished valuable suggestions and criticism during the elaboration of the senior author's dissertation on elapomorphine systematics, and P.E. Vanzolini kindly shared his annotated literature on Apostolepis taxa. We are indebted to F.L. Franco for advisory help with literature, V.J. Germano for cooperative work with preserved collection material, A. Dall'Onder for assitance with images, and S.M. Almeida-Santos for comments on reproductive biology. This paper was partially funded by grants from the Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP) and from FUNDAP.

#### REFERENCES

- Amaral, A. 1930 [1929]. Estudos sobre ophídios neotrópicos XVII. Valor systemático de várias formas de ophídios neotrópicos. Memórias do Instituto Butantan, 4:32-68.
- Amaral, A. 1936. Contribuição ao conhecimento dos ophídios do Brasil. VIII. Lista remissiva dos ophídios do Brasil. 2ª ed. Memórias do Instituto Butantan, 10:87-162.
- Dowling, H.G. 1951. A proposed standard system of counting ventrals in snakes. British Journal of Herpetology, 1:97-99.
- Dowling, H.G. & Savage, J.M. 1960. A guide to snake hemipenis: a survey of basic structure and systematic characteristics. Zoologica New York, 45:17-28.
- Farris, J.S. 1982. Outgroups and Parsimony. Systematic Zoology, 31:328-334.
- Ferrarezzi, H. 1993. Sistemática Filogenética de Elapomorphus, Phalotris e Apostolepis (Serpentes: Colubridae: Xenodontinae). Dissertação (Mestrado). Universidade de São Paulo.
- Ferrarezzi, H. 1994 [1993]. Nota sobre o gênero Phalotris com revisão do grupo nasutus e a descrição de três novas espécies (Serpentes: Colubridae: Xenodontinae). Memórias do Instituto Butantan, 55(1):21-38.
- Giraudo, A.R. & Scrocchi, G.J. 1998. A new species of Apostolepis and comments on the genus in Argentina. Herpetologica, 54(4):470-476.
- Gomes, J.F. 1915. Contribution to the knowledge of Brazilian snakes. Description of four new species and a new genus of opisthoglyphs. Annais Paulista de Medicina e Cirurgia, 4:121-129.
- Harvey, M.B. 1999. Revision of Bolivian Apostolepis (Squamata: Colubridae). Copeia, 2:388-409.
- Harvey, M.B.; Gonzales, L.A. & Scrocchi, G.J. 2001. New species of Apostolepis (Squamata: Colubridae) from the Gran Chaco in Southern Bolivia. Copeia, 2:501-507.
- Hennig, W. 1966. Phylogenetic Systematics. University of Illinois Press, Illinois.
- Hueck, K. & Siebert, P. 1972. Vegetationskarte von Südamerika. Gustav Fisher Verlag, Stuttgart.

- Lema, T. 2002. Redescription of Apostolepis sanctaeritae Werner, 1924 (Serpentes, Colubridae), and a comparsion with related species. Copeia, 4:1147-1151.
- Lema, T. 2003. Descrição de nova espécie de Apostolepis Cope, 1861 do cerrado do Brasil, pertencente ao grupo dimidiata (Serpentes, Elapomorphinae). Acta Biológica Leopoldensia, 25(1):123-131.
- Lema, T. 2004a. New species of Apostolepis Cope, 1861, from caatinga belonging to assimilis group (Serpentes, Elapomorphinae). Comunicações do Museu de Ciências da PUCRS. Serie Zoologia, 17(1):3-7.
- Lema, T. 2004b. Description of a new species of Apostolepis Cope, 1861 (Serpentes, Elapomorphinae) from brazilian Cerrado. Acta Biológica Leopoldensia, 26(1):155-160.
- Lema, T. & Fernandes, R. 1997. O status de Apostolepis sanctaeritae Werner, 1924 e sua revalidação (Serpentes: Colubridae: Elapomorphinae). Acta Biológica Leopoldensia, 19:51-59.
- Manzani, P.R. & Abe, A.S. 1988. Sobre dois novos métodos de preparo do hemipênis de serpentes. Memórias do Instituto Butantan, 50:15-20.
- Nixon, K.C. & Carpenter, J.M. 1993. On outgroups. Cladistics, 9:413-426.
- Pereira, D.N.; Rodrigues, M.G.; Barbo, F.E.; Stender-Oliveira, F.; Calleffo, M.E.V. & Marques, O.A.V. 2004. Composição atual da fauna de serpentes da cidade de São Paulo. In: Congresso Brasileiro de Herpetologia, 1. Resumos. Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Paraná, Curitiba. CD-ROM.
- Pesantes, O.S. 1994. A method for preparing the hemipenis of preserved snakes. Journal of Herpetology, 28:93-95.
- Peters, J. A. & Orejas-Miranda, B. 1970. Catalogue of the Neotropical Squamata. Part I. Snakes. Bulletin United States National Museum, 297:1-347.
- Reinhardt, J. 1861. Herpetologiske Middelelser. II. Beskrivelser af nogle nye til Calamariernes Familie henhörende Slänger. Videnskabelige Meddelelser fra Dank Naturhistorisk Forening i Kjöbenhavn, 2:229-250, [1860], pl.4, figs. 1-5.
- Rodrigues, M.T. 1993 [dated 1992]. Herpetofauna das dunas interiores do Rio São Francisco: Bahia: Brasil. V. Duas novas espécies de Apostolepis (Ophidia: Colubridae). Memórias do Instituto Butantan, 54 (2):53-59.
- Vanzolini, P.E. 1986. Addenda and corrigenda to the Catalogue of Neotropical Squamata. Smithsonian Herpetological Service, 70:1-26.
- Werner, F. 1924. Neue oder wenig bekannte schlangen aus dem Naturhistorischen Staatmuseum in Wien. Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, 133:29-56.
- Werner, F. 1925. Neue order wenig bekannte Schlangen aus dem Naturhistorichen Staatsmuseum in Wien (2). Sitzungsberichte der Akademie der Wissenschaften in Wien, 134:45-66.
- Zaher, H. 1999. Hemipenial morphology of the South American xenodontine snakes, with a proposal for a monophyletic Xenodontinae and a reappraisal of colubroid hemipenes. Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History, 240:1-168.

Recebido em: 15.06.2005 Aceito em: 26.08.2005



Publicado com o apoio financeiro do Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Clentífico e Tecnológico

#### **APPENDIX1**

#### Material Examined

#### Museum Collections:

IB (Laboratório de Herpetologia, Instituto Butantan, São Paulo);
MZUSP (Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo);
MNRJ (Museu Nacional do Rio de Janeiro);
UNB (Dept.Zoologia, Universidade de Brasília);
LAPH (Lab. An. Peç. e Herpet., Universidade Estadual de Feira de Santana, Bahia).

*Apostolepis assimilis*: BRAZIL: SÃO PAULO: Carapicuíba (IB 72970); Icem/Fronteira (IB 40008); Itu (MZUSP 4180, 6606); São Paulo (IB 24180, 24548, 24873, 27598, 31716, 33316; IB-MSP348), Pirituba (IB 70351 SKULL), Jaraguá (IB 70356 SKULL); Santana do Parnaíba (IB 61761); DISTRITO FEDERAL: Brasília (IB 20566, 28734, CHUNB24456, 24474); MINAS GERAIS: Conceição dos Ouros (IB 33206); Uberlândia (MZUSP 3841, 3845). GOIÁS: Jataí (MZUSP 3783); Mineiros (IB 55495); MATO GROSSO: Buriti, Chapada dos Guimarães (MZUSP 5346); MATO GROSSO DO SUL: Amambaí (IB 41163); Campo Grande (IB 42978, 57222; MZUSP 10155); Nova Andradina (IB 27489); Paranaíba (IB 45615); Ponta-Porã (IB 44065); PARANÁ: Londrina (IB 37462, 40008).

*Apostolepis cearensis*: BAHIA: Brumado (IB 33685); Camaçarí (LAPH371); Capim Grosso (LAPH294); Feira de Santana (LAPH012, 019, 070, 071, 074 086, 130, 162, 166,203, 277, 310, 315, 429, 434, 463, 464, 505, 515, 615, 624, IB 53700); Mina Caraíba, Jaguarari river (IB 26203); Santo Inácio (MZUSP -MTR.field# 916639, 916640, 916641 (incl.HEMIPENIS); São Gonçalo (LAPH073); CEARÁ: (IB 14948), (IB 0882, holotype, 0910, 0911, 20220 including skull); Crato, Serra do Araripe (IB 20385); Fortaleza (IB 2106, 20020, 55318, 40262); Juazeiro do Norte (IB 20164); Lima Campos, Icó (IB 20190); Limoeiro do Norte (IB 12775); Northeastern Ceará (IB 20097); RIO GRANDE DO NORTE: Natal (IB 43960, 55064-67); PERNAMBUCO: Recife (IB 56983); PIAUÍ: Terezina (IB 49743); PARAÍBA: Cabaceiras (MZUSP 9013); Campina Grande (IB 9050); João Pessoa (MZUSP 7975); (MNRJ r3371a, r3371b).

*Apostolepis ammodites* sp. nov.: BAHIA: Cocos (IB 61525), Correntina (CHUNB s/n°); DISTRITO FEDERAL (IB 49363); GOIÁS: (IB 15723); Cana Brava, Rio Cana Brava (IB 40478); São Domingos (IB 62593, 67392); MATO GROSSO: São Felix, Lago Itacy (IB 15723); MINAS GERAIS: Betim (FUNED003); Curvelo (IB 22410); Serra do Cipó (MZUSP 7595); Três Marias (FUNED s/n°); Vazante (IB 48041); TOCANTINS: Gurupi (MZUSP 8007); Lajeado (IB 64533, 64534, 64571, 64613, 65680, 65681); Palmas (IB 65261-69, 65664, 65688); Porto Nacional (IB 65682, 65683, 66166); Santa Isabel, Ilha do Bananal (IB 12324); unspecified locality (IB 71470, 71471).

*Apostolepis flavotorquata*: BAHIA: Coribe (UNB1213); GOIÁS: Anápolis (IB 18851); Nerópolis (UNB0948); MATO GROSSO: Chapada Matogrossense (IB 32530); MATO GROSSO DO SUL: Ilha Solteira (IB 36449, 36450 SKULL).

*Apostolepis pymi:* AMAZONAS: Balbina (IB 51396); Manaus (MZUSP 8423, 8657); PARÁ: Belém (IB 3033, 3034); Canindé, Rio Guruoi (MZUSP 2001); (uncataloged specimen- SKULL),

2	
Χ	
A	
E	
E.	
Ν	

Mental/	chinshield	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	contact	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent
	oupralabials	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)	6 (2-3)
White supralabial	blotch (oval)	$3+4+5^{th}$ sup	inf 3+4+5 <sup>th</sup> sup	oval 4 <sup>th</sup>	$1/3 \sup_{4^{\rm th}} { m of}$	oval 4 <sup>th</sup>	4 and 5 <sup>th</sup> sup	$\sup_{3+4+5^{th}}$ sup	oval 4 <sup>th</sup>	absent	4+5 <sup>th</sup> sup	4+5 <sup>th</sup> sup	4+5 <sup>th</sup> sup	point on 4 <sup>th</sup> sup	absent	4+5 <sup>th</sup> sup	4+5 <sup>th</sup> sup	$4+5^{\rm th}  {\rm sup}$	4 sup+5 <sup>th</sup> sup	4 <sup>th</sup>	point on 4 <sup>th</sup> sup left	$4+5^{\rm th} \sup$	inf 3+4+5 <sup>th</sup> sup
Black occiput	ventral extention	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular	gular
Chinshield/ infralabial	pigmentati- on	mostly black	havely blotched	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	black	black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black	blotched	mostly black	mostly black	mostly black
Ventral extension	or black cervical color	complete	incomplete	absent	incomplete	incomplete	absent	incomplete	incomplete	complete	complete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete	incomplete
Light snout blotch	from rostral to:	frontal	s.ocul frontal	parietals	s.ocul frontal	s.ocul. and pre frontal	s.ocul. and pre frontal	s.ocul frontal	s.ocul frontal	s.ocul frontal	s.ocul frontal	s.ocul frontal	s.ocul frontal	frontal- parietals	s.ocul frontal	frontal	supra oculars	supra oculars	s.ocul frontal	frontal	frontal	frontal	frontal
collars	white	ю	0	1	0	0	0	0	6	1	0	1	1	1	1	0	7	5	1	1	0	1	0
cervical	black	5-6	4-5	6-7	5-6	6-7	5-6	4-5	4-5	5-6	6-7	5-6	4-5	5-6	6-7	5-6	6-7	3-4	5-6	6-7	5-6	5-6	4-5
Nucho-	white	4	4	3	4	Ń	ſŊ	4	5	5	3	4	'n	4	3	9	4	6	5	ŝ	4	4	ю
Total number of	infralabials **	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)	7 (4)
Contact between	parretal and 4th upperlabial	absent	absent	present	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	just a point	present/ absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent	absent/ present	absent	absent
F	1 emporais	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0 + 1	0+1
Nasal/	preocular	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate	separate
Rostral	lenght *	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/3$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	~1/3	$\sim 1/3$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	+ 1/2	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/3$	$\sim 1/2$	$\sim 1/3$	$\sim 1/3$	$\sim 1/3$
	Icpis	Holotype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype	Paratype
Apostole		IB 65267	IB 62593	IB 64533	IB 64534	IB 64571	IB 64613	IB 65261	IB 65262	IB 65263	IB 65264	IB 65265	IB 65266	IB 65268	IB 65269	IB 65664	IB 65680	IB 65681	IB 65682	IB 65683	IB 65688	IB 66166	IB 67392

#### EDITORIAL COMMITTEE

Editor-in-Chief: Hussam Zaher, Serviço de Vertebrados, Museu de Zoologia, Universidade de São Paulo, Caixa Postal 42.494, CEP 04218-970, São Paulo, SP, Brasil. E mail: hradre@ibusp.br.

Associate Editors: Carlos José Einicker Lamas (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil), Antonio Carlos Marques (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil), Mário César Cardoso de Pinna (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil), Sergio Antonio Vanin (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil),

Editorial Board: Aziz Nacih Ab'Saber (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); Rüdiger Bieler (Field Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); Walter Antonio Pereira Boeger (Universidade Federal do Paraná, Brasil); Carlos Roberto Ferreira Brandão (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); James M. Carpenter (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); Ricardo Macedo Corrêa e Castro (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); Darrel R. Frost (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); William Ronald Heyer (National Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); Ralph W. Holzenthal (University of Minnesota, U.S.A.); Adriano Brilhante Kury (Museu Nacional, Rio de Janciro, Brasil); John G. Maisey (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); Naércio Aquino Menezes (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); Christian de Muizon (Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, France); Gerardo Lamas Müller (Museo de Historia Natural "Javier Prado", Lima, Peru); Nelson Papavero (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); James L. Patton (University of California, Berkeley, U.S.A.); Richard O. Prum (University of Kansas, U.S.A.); Marcos André Raposo (Museu Nacional, Rio de Janciro, Brasil); Oliver Rieppel (Field Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); Miguel Trefaut Urbano Rodrigues (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); Randall T. Schuh (American Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); Ubirajara Ribeiro Martins de Souza (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil), Rarcos Tavares (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); Paulo Emflio Vanzolini (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); Richard P. Vari (National Museum of Natural History, U.S.A.); Mario de Vivo (Universidade de São Paulo, Brasil); Paulo Secchin Young (Museu Nacional, Rio de Janciro, Brasil);

#### INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS (MAY 2002)

General Information: Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia covers primarily the fields of Zoology, publishing original contributions in systematics, paleontology, evolutionary biology, ecology, taxonomy, anatomy, behavior, functional morphology, molecular biology, ontogeny, faunistic studies, and biogeography. Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia also encourages submission of theoretical and empirical studies that explore principles and methods of systematics.

Lists and catalogs should be submitted to the Arquivos de Zoologia.

All contributions must follow the International Code of Zoological Nomenclature. Relevant specimens should be properly curated and deposited in a recognized public or private, non-profit institution. Tissue samples should be referred to their voucher specimens and all nucleotide sequence data (aligned as well as unaligned) should be submitted to GenBank (http://www.ncbi.nih.gov/Genbank/) or EMBL (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/).

Peer Review: All submissions to Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia are subject to review by at least two referees and the Editor-in-Chief. Three legible copies (including photocopies of original illustrations) and original illustrations must be submitted; all authors will be notified of submission date. Authors may suggest potential reviewers. Communications regarding acceptance or rejection of manuscripts are made through correspondence with the first or corresponding author only. Once a manuscript is accepted providing changes suggested by the referees, the author is requested to return a revised version incorporating those changes (or a detailed explanation of why reviewer's suggestions were not followed) within form weeks upon receiving the communication by the editor. Revised manuscripts must be submitted as both hard copy and electronic file (3.5" disk, Zip Drive, or CD Rom with text in Microsoft Word format). Figures and graphics should be sent separately (".jpg", ".tif", ".xls", ".cdr").

**Proofs:** Page-proofs with the revised version will be sent to the first or corresponding author. Page-proofs *must be returned to the editor in two meeks, preferntially within 48 bours.* Failure to return the proof promptly may be interpreted as approval with no changes and/or may delay publication. Only necessary corrections in proof will be permitted. Once page proof is sent to the author, further alterations and/or significant additions of text are permitted only at the author's expense or in the form of a brief appendix ("note added in proof").

Submission of Manuscripts: Manuscripts should be sent to the Editor-in-Chief (H. Zaher, Museu de Zoologia da USP, Caixa Postal 42.494, CEP 04218-970, São Paulo, SP, Brasil). Manuscripts are considered on the understanding that they have not been published or will not appear elsewhere in substantially the same or abbreviated form. The criteria for acceptance of articles are: quality and relevance of research, clarity of text, and compliance with the guidelines for manuscript preparation.

Manuscripts should be written preferentially in English, but texts in Portuguese or Spanish will also be considered. Studies with a broad coverage are encouraged to be submitted in English. All manuscripts should include an abstract in Portuguese and English regardless of the original language.

Authors are requested to pay attention to the instructions concerning the preparation of the manuscripts. Close adherence to the guidelines will expedite processing of the manuscript, whereas manuscripts deviating from the required form will be returned for revision prior to review.

Manuscript Form: Manuscripts should not exceed 100 pages of double-spaced typescript on 21 by 29.7 cm (A4 format) or 21.5 by 28 cm (letter format) paper, with wide margins. The pages of the manuscript should be numbered consecutively.

The text of articles should be arranged in the following order: Title Page, Abstracts, Body of Text, Literature Cited, Tables, Appendices, and Figure Captions. Each of these sections should begin on a new page. All typescript pages must be doublespaced.

(1) *Title Page:* This should include the title, author(s) name(s), institutions, and keywords in English as well as in the language of the manuscript, and a short

running title in the language of the manuscript. The title should be concise and, where appropriate, should include mention of families and/or higher taxa. Names of new taxa should not be included in titles.

- (2) Abstract: All papers should have an abstract in English and another in Portuguese, regardless of the original language. The abstract is of great importance as it may be reproduced elsewhere. It should be in a form intelligible if published alone and should summarize the main facts, ideas, and conclusions of the article. Telegraphic abstracts are strongly discouraged. Include all new taxonomic names for referencing purposes. Abbreviations should be avoided. It should not include references. Abstracts should not exceed 350 words.
- (3) Body of Text: The main body of the text should include the following sections: Introduction, Materials and Methods, Results, Discussion, and Acknowledgments at end. Primary headings in the text should be in capital letters and centered; the following text should be in capital and lowercase letters and flush left; the following text should be in capital and lower case letters and flush left; the following text should be in capital and lower case letters, in italies and indented; the following text should be on the next line, indented. Textiary headings should be in capital and lower case letters, in italies and indented; the following text should be on the same line and separated from the heading by a hyphen.
- (4) Literature Cited: Citations in the text should be given as: Silva (1998)..., Silva (1998:14-20)..., Silva (1998: figs. 1, 2)..., Silva (1998a, b)..., Silva & Oliveira (1998)..., (Silva, 1998)..., (Rangel, 1890; Silva & Oliveira, 1998a, b; Adams, 2000)..., (Silva, pers. comm.)..., (Silva et al., 1998), the latter when the paper has three or more authors. The reference need not be cited when author and date are given only as authority for a taxonomic name. The literature section should be arranged strictly alphabetically and given in the following format:

Journal Article – Silva, H.R.; Oliveira, H. & Rangel, S. Year. Article title. Journal name, 00:000-000. Names of journals must be spelled out in full.

Books - Silva, H.R. Year. Book title. Publisher, Place.

Articles in Books – Silva, H.R. Year. Article title. In: Oliveira, H. & Rangel, S. (Eds.), Book title. Publisher, Place, p.000-000.

Articles in Larger Works – Silva, H.R. Year. Article title. In: H. Oliveira & S. Rangel (Eds.), Title of Larger Work. Serial Publication. Publisher, Place, p.000-000.

Dissertations and Theses – Silva, H.R. Year. Dissertation title. Ph.D. Dissertation, University, Place.

Eletronic Publications – Silva, H.R. Year. Article title. Available at: http://www.mz.usp.br.

Tables: All tables must be numbered in the same sequence in which they appear in the text. Authors are encouraged to indicate where the tables should be placed in the text. They should be comprehensible without reference to the text. Tables should be formatted with horizontal, not vertical, rules. In the text, tables should be referred as Table 1, Tables 2 and 3, Tables 2-6. Use "TABLE" in the table heading.

**Illustrations:** Figures should be numbered consecutively, in the same sequence they appear in the text. Separate illustrations of a composite figure should be identified by capital letters and referred in the text as on (fig. 1A). Where possible, letters should be placed in the lower right corner of each illustration of a composite figure. Hand-written lettering on illustrations is unacceptable. Illustrations should be mounted on stout, white cardboard. Figures should be mounted to as between separate illustrations. High quality color or black and white photographs, and computer generated figures are preferable. Authors are encouraged to indicate where the figures should be placed in the text. Use "(Fig(s).)" and "Figure(s)" for referring to figures in the text, but "FIGURE(S)" in the figure captions and "(fig(s).)" when referring to figures in another paper.

For other details of manuscript preparation of format, consult the CBE Style Manual, available from the Council of Science Editors (http://www.councilscienceeditors.org/publications/style.cfm).

Papéis Avulsos de Zoologia and Arquivos de Zoologia are publications of the Museu de Zoologia da Universidade de São Paulo (www.mz.usp.br).